arXiv:hep-ex/9502005v1 13 Feb 1995

Review of CP Violation Studies with B-Mesons at LHC

Tatsuya Nakada

Paul Scherrer Institute
CH-5232 Villigen-PSI, Switzerland

19 December 1994

Abstract

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) proposed at CERN will be the ultimate source of B-mesons. With the large number of B-mesons expected at LHC, a real precision test of CP violation in B-meson decays will become possible. There are already several efforts made to explore this possibility and a summary of those activities is presented.

Plenary talk given at
1994 International Workshop on B Physics
Physics Beyond the Standard Model at the B Factory
Nagoya, October 1994

1 Introduction

CP violation has been with us for more than 30 years [1] and its origin still remains one of the unsolved problems in particle physics [2]. The standard model with three families of the left-handed quark doublet [3] can explain observed CP violation in K_L decays through the complex Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark mass mixing matrix [4]. However, it cannot be excluded for the moment that CP violation is generated by a mechanism beyond the standard model [5].

In the neutral kaon system, continuous experimental efforts have been made to measure the isospin dependence of CP violation in the $K_L \to 2\pi$ decays predicted by the standard model. The expected signal is very small and such a dependence is not yet experimentally established [6]. Two new experiments are in preparation.

Due to the complication introduced by the strong interaction at low energies, theoretical predictions for CP violation cannot be made very accurately in the kaon system. On the other hand, it is now generally accepted that such a difficulty is much smaller for particular decays in the B-meson system and the standard model can make accurate predictions once the four parameters of the CKM matrix become known [7]. Therefore, it is worthwhile to measure the effects of CP violation in the B-meson system with an accuracy of a few %. Another advantage of the B-meson is that it can decay into many different final states. The standard model predicts a definite pattern of CP violation for different final states. With a large number of B-mesons, one can study such a pattern [8]. A good example is CP violation in B_s decaying into $J/\psi\,\phi$. While the standard model expects negligible CP violation, a superweak model predicts a sizable effect [9].

The standard model predictions for CP violation in the B-meson decays are best illustrated by the unitarity triangle. Three sides of the triangle are obtained from $b \to c + W^-$ and $b \to u + W^-$ decays and B^0-$\overline{B}^0$ oscillations and will be well measured by CLEO and four LEP experiments. The three angles, often referred as α, β and γ can be measured from CP asymmetries in the B-meson decays. CP violation in the B-meson decays could be seen first by BaBar at SLAC, BELL at KEK, HERAB at DESY and possibly CDF at FNAL [10] before LHC.

The goal of the CP violation study at LHC is the "precision" test. Using a large number of $\sim 10^{10}$ to $> 10^{12}$ B-mesons expected at LHC, α and β can be measured to an accuracy of ≤ 0.01. Measurements of γ, of the mass difference between the two mass eigenstates of the B_s-meson system through B_s-$\overline{B}_s$ oscillations and of the rare B-meson decays [11] will be also unique contributions from LHC. In this article, predicted experimental capabilities of proposed LHC detectors are summarised.

2 General Consideration

The b-quark cross sections are estimated to be ~ 1 μb in fixed target mode and ~ 500 μb in collider mode at LHC. B_s-mesons are also produced. The fractions of the b-quark production in the p-p interactions are $\sim 0.0025\%$ in fixed target mode and $\sim 0.5\%$ in collider mode. The large b-quark cross section and $\sigma_{b\overline{b}}/\sigma_{\rm total}$ ratio are clear advantages for working with collider mode. It may be noted that the current fixed target charm experiments operate with $\sigma_{c\overline{c}}/\sigma_{\rm total}$ approximately 0.5%.

At LHC, the detector has to cope with an event rate of 40 MHz with an even higher interaction rate. The trigger, in particular the first-level trigger, must be fast and very selective. An experiment working in the fixed target mode can have some advantages in the trigger.

Due to the large b-quark mass, b-quark decays produce particles (μ, e and hadrons) with large momenta. In the p-p interaction, this translates into the production of particles with a large transverse momentum ($P_{\rm T}$) respect to the beam axis. Muons can be identified fast and easily and the number of muons in one event is small. Therefore, a large $P_{\rm T}$ muon trigger is a simple and effective first-level trigger. On the other hand, the trigger is sensitive only to the semileptonic decays of the b-quark and to final states with J/ψ. This results in a low trigger efficiency.

The trigger efficiency will be roughly doubled if one can use the large $P_{\rm T}$ electron (and positron) in the first-level trigger. This is more difficult than using muons due to many sources of background such as π^0 Dalitz decays and photon conversions in the detector material. The small event multiplicity in fixed target mode could be an advantage in this respect [12].

Large $P_{\rm T}$ hadrons can be used effectively for the trigger in fixed target mode. It is well known that the average $P_{\rm T}$ of pions in normal p-p interaction events increases with the centre of mass energy. Therefore, the large $P_{\rm T}$ hadron trigger is not as effective in collider mode [12].

Another characteristic of b-quark decays is the displaced secondary vertex. In fixed target mode, the average flight-length of a b-hadron is a few cm. If the production target is point-like, the primary vertex is a priori known and a very fast trigger for selecting events containing tracks with a large impact parameter can be designed [12]. In collider mode, the position of the primary vertex is not known well due to the bunch length of the proton beam. Thus, the primary vertex must be reconstructed first before selecting events containing a track with a large impact parameter.

With a fixed target experiment using an extracted beam [14], the charged B-meson becomes "visible" by placing the vertex detector very closed to the production target. This could be useful for studying such decays as $B^+ \to \tau^+\nu_\tau$ and $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ where the background is a serious problem.

It is important to note that one can no longer record all the events associated

with the B-meson, and particular B-meson decay modes of interest must be selected. This requires the online reconstruction of events in the third-level trigger with all the detector information.

The ultimate limitation of an experiment may come from radiation damage. It is very conceivable that LHC will produce more B-mesons than an experiment can really use due to the radiation damage to the detector.

3 General Purpose Detectors

ATLAS [15] and CMS [16] are two general purpose collider detectors designed to perform high P_{T} physics such as studies of the top quark and search for the Higgs and supersymmetric particles in the p-p interactions at LHC in the central region. The b-quark is an important tool for high P_{T} physics.

With the increasing interest in b-physics itself, the two collaborations started to investigate the capabilities of their detectors to study b-physics such as CP violation in the B-meson decays. Those studies are also influencing the design of the detectors, in particular the vertex detectors.

It is expected to take several years for LHC to reach its design luminosity of $\mathcal{L} \approx 10^{34}$ cm^{-2}s^{-1} which is required to fully exploit LHC for high P_{T} physics. Thus, b-physics will be an important physics programme for ATLAS and CMS during the first few years of the LHC operation. Once LHC achieves the design luminosity, b-physics will become exceedingly difficult due to the large background.

Both ATLAS and CMS have an excellent muon detection capability and the muon is used in the first-level trigger. ATLAS uses a single muon with $P_{\mathrm{T}} \geq 6$ GeV. For the B$\rightarrow$ J/ψ K$_{\mathrm{S}}$ decay mode, the trigger muon can be generated by the muons from the J/ψ decay or from the semileptonic decay of the partner b-quark which is used as the tag.

The CMS first-level trigger consists of a single muon with $P_{\mathrm{T}} \geq 10$ GeV or two muons with $P_{\mathrm{T}} \geq 3 \sim 5$ GeV. The double muon trigger is very effective for B-meson decay final states with J/ψ. The single muon trigger is mainly sensitive to the semileptonic decay of the partner b-quark used for the tag.

The excellent detection capability for the electron allows ATLAS to reconstruct J/$\psi \rightarrow$ e$^+$e$^-$. Due to the strong magnetic field of the detector (4 T), CMS has difficulty to use the electron channel.

Both experiments have improved their vertex detectors by placing their first plane much closer to the beam than the original designs shown in the letters of intent. The new designs provide a much better impact parameter resolution which reduces the background in the reconstructed B-mesons and improves the eigentime resolution of the B-meson. However, the radiation damage while operating at the nominal LHC luminosity becomes a serious concern.

Table 1 summarises the expected performance for measuring sin 2α and sin 2β by ATLAS and CMS using the CP asymmetries obtained from the decay time

Table 1: Expected performances of the general purpose detectors at LHC for $\sin 2\alpha$ and $\sin 2\beta$ using the time integrated method.

No.$(b\overline{b})/10^7$ sec	5×10^{12}			
Measurement	sin 2α from $\pi^+\pi^-$		sin 2β from $J/\psi\, K_S$	
Experiment	ATLAS	CMS	ATLAS	CMS
No.(reconstructed "final state"+tag)				
• μ-tag	3070	3400	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$	
			3847	9200
			$J/\psi \to e^+e^-$	
			6041	-
• e-tag	-	-	$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$	
			4322	-
total	3070	3400	14210	9200
background/signal	1.67	0.84	~ 0.1	~ 0.1
stat. information	0.71	0.47	0.62	0.47
$^*\sigma_{\text{ststistical}}$	0.08	0.09	0.028	0.047

*Including the statistical fluctuation in the background.

integrated rates. It is assumed that LHC will run with an average luminosity of 10^{33} for 10^7 s, i.e. roughly one year. The quoted errors are only statistical. It shows that $\sin 2\beta$ can be measured very well. For the measurement of $\sin 2\alpha$, the large amount of remaining background is a worry. The background comes mainly from other two-body decay modes of b-hadrons such as $B \to K\pi$ and $B_s \to KK$. The momentum resolution is not sufficient to distinguish them from the $B \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

One way to separate the background in ATLAS and CMS, which have no special kaon and pion identification capabilities, is to study the decay time distribution. The background events are expected to decay (almost) purely exponentially. With the absence of the penguin diagram, the decay time distribution for $\pi^+\pi^-$ events is given by

$$e^{-\Gamma t}\,(1 \pm \sin\, 2\beta \times \sin\, \Delta m\, t)$$

where Δm and Γ are the mass difference between the two weak eigenstates and the decay width of the neutral B-mesons, respectively. Another advantage of studying the decay time distribution is that the errors on both $\sin 2\alpha$ and $\sin 2\beta$ can be reduced by $\sim 20\%$ due to the increased statistical sensitivity of the method [17].

4 Dedicated Detectors

4.1 Past

Three different approaches to perform B-physics in a dedicated way, COBEX [18], GAJET [12] and LHB [14], were initiated. COBEX proposed to work in collider mode and GAJET and LHB in fixed target mode. An internal gas-jet target was considered for GAJET while LHB considered extracting the halo of the LHC beam parasitically using a bent crystal. All three experiments were designed to run for many years in different luminosity conditions of LHC.

All three detectors were forward spectrometers equipped with a Si vertex detector very close to (or in) the beam, large aperture magnet(s) with a tracking system, a particle identification system capable of the π/K/p separation over all the necessary kinematic range, electromagnetic (and hadronic for GAJET and LHB) calorimeter(s) and a muon system.

Compared with the two fixed target experiments, COBEX benefited from the larger b-quark production cross section in collider mode. GAJET emphasised its simple and effective impact parameter trigger strategy based on the point-like target geometry combined with the large P_{T} lepton and hadron triggers. LHB deployed a vertex detector system close to the production target where most of the B-mesons decay.

General advantages of a dedicated detector compared to a general purpose detector are the following:

- The forward spectrometer geometry allows a more efficient muon P_{T} trigger with a lower threshold value.
- The vertex detector system close to the beam provides a better vertex resolution. This is important in particular for studying $\mathrm{B_s}$-$\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ oscillations and CP violation in $\mathrm{B_s}$ decays.
- The particle identification system reduces the background in the $\mathrm{B}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decay mode generated by other two-body decay modes of b-hadrons to a negligible amount. It also reduces the combinatorial background and the many-body decay modes of B- and $\mathrm{B_s}$-mesons can be reconstructed. This allows measurements of the third angle of the unitary triangle, γ, CP asymmetries expected to be very small in the standard model and CP asymmetries in charged B-meson decays.

Table 2 summarises the performances of the three dedicated experiments. Dedicated experiments indeed do much better in the difficult decay mode $\mathrm{B}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ than general purpose experiments not only statistically but also in the reduction of the background.

Table 2: Expected performances for past proposed dedicated experiments at LHC.

Experiment	COBEX	GAJET	LHB
No.($b\overline{b}$)/10^7 sec	4×10^{12}	2×10^{10}	7.7×10^9
First-level trigger			
High P_T	μ	μ, e, hadron	μ, e
Large impact parameter	(only at low $\mathcal{L}$)	yes	-
Tagging method	μ ($K^{\pm}$)	μ, e, $K^{\pm}$	μ, e, $K^{\pm}$, $B^{\pm}$
$\sin 2\alpha$ from $\pi^+\pi^-$			
No.(reconstructed $\pi^+\pi^-$+tag)	30000	4500	3200
background/signal	< 0.16	0.3	< 0.1
$\sigma_{\sin 2\alpha}$ statistical	0.015	0.04	0.07
$\sin 2\beta$ from $J/\psi\, K_S$			
No.(reconstructed $J/\psi\, K_S$+tag)	270000	10350	13000
$\sigma_{\sin 2\beta}$ statistical	0.007	0.03	0.02
final states useful to measure γ			
$B_s \to D_s\, K$/branching ratio	$^*1.8 \times 10^8$	$^*8.3 \times 10^7$	$^*2.8 \times 10^7$
$B^+ \to \overline{D}^0\, K^+$/branching ratio	-	-	$^*1.2 \times 10^8$
$B^0 \to \overline{D}^0\, K^{*0}$/branching ratio	-	$^*1.9 \times 10^8$	-

*Relevant branching fractions must be multiplied to obtain the actual number of reconstructed events.

4.2 Current Status and Future

Although the LHC committee (LHCC) has repeatedly confirmed the necessity of a dedicated B-physics experiment as one of the baseline LHC experiments along with ATLAS, CMS and ALICE, none of the above three experiments was recommended for submitting a technical proposal. Instead, LHCC requested the submission of a new letter of intent by a joint collaboration based on the collider mode with a newly designed forward spectrometer with a vertex detector system placed in the Roman pot [19]. A collaboration containing most of the members of the original three groups and many other institutes was formed to do this task. The collaboration is in the process of optimising the detector and the trigger strategy and intends to submit the letter of intent by the end of February 1995. A performance even better and more solid than that claimed by the originally proposed dedicated experiments is expected.

5 Conclusions

There are already active efforts to plan to measure CP violation in B-meson decays at LHC. The two general purpose experiments, ATLAS and CMS will study CP violation during the initial period of LHC running with less luminosity than the design one. They can measure sin 2β using the $B\rightarrow J/\psi\, K_S$ decay mode well and contribute to the sin 2α measurement. They have an excellent mass resolution and a good B-meson decay vertex resolution. Their limitation is in the particle identification.

A dedicated B-physics detector at LHC will tackle the problem of CP violation in the B-meson decay for many years and try to measure the angles of the unitarity triangle with a precision of $\lesssim 0.01$. It will have a more efficient trigger for the b-quark events than the general purpose detectors. The capability of identifying pions, kaons and protons will ensure clean reconstruction of many different B-meson decay modes which is important to study CP violation in a complete way.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks to the organizers for their hospitality during this stimulating conference. Discussions with many physicists working at ATLAS and CMS, in particular P. Eerola (ATLAS), D. Denegri, R. Horisberger and A. Rubbia (CMS), are acknowledged. The author would like to thank the colleagues from the COBEX and LHB collaborations for useful discussions. Particular thanks are to the member of the GAJET collaboration for the stimulating work during the preparation of the letter of intent, in particular A. Buijs, L. L. Camilleri, P. D. Dauncey, M. Dracos, P. Galumian, Y. Lemoigne, S. Loucatos, J.-P. Perroud and W. Ruckstuhl. The author acknowledges Q. Ingram for reading this

manuscript.

References

[1] J. H. Christenson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964) 138.

[2] T. D. Lee, contribution to these proceedings.

[3] CP violation within the framework of the standard model is summarised by C. Jarlskog in these proceedings.

[4] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10** (1963) 531.
M. Kobayashi and K. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49** (1973) 652.

[5] For a review on CP violations and physics beyond the standard model, see the contribution from L. Wolfenstein in these proceedings.

[6] For a review on CP violation experiment in the kaon system, see for example T. Nakada, AIP Conference Proceedings 302, Lepton Photon Interactions, XVI International Symposium (ed. P. Drell and D. Rubin, Ithaca, August 1993) p.425.

[7] This point is nicely summarised by
I. I. Bigi, Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 240.

[8] The pattern of CP violation in B-meson decays is summarised by
D. Wyler, Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 8.
See also contributions by I. I. Bigi and M. Gronau in these proceedings.

[9] J.-M. Gérard and T. Nakada, Phys. Lett. B **261** (1991) 474.

[10] See contributions from P. Oddone (BaBar), S. Suzuki (BELL), A. S. Schwarz (HERAB) and N. Lockyer (CDF) in these proceedings.

[11] A. Ali, contribution to these proceedings.

[12] P. D. Dauncey et al. (GAJET Collaboration), Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 147.

[13] F. Ferroni (RD22), Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 183.

[14] R. Waldi (LHB Collaboration), Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 161.

[15] P. Eerola et al. (ATLAS), Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 84.

[16] D. Denegri et al. (CMS), Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 95.

[17] See for example A. Kharchilava and D. F. Vitè (CMS). CMS Technical Note TN/94-193 (1994)

[18] S. Erhan et al. (COBEX), Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on B-Physics at Hadron Machines (ed. P. E. Schlein, Le Mont-Saint-Michel, April 1994), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A351** (1994) 132.

[19] J. Ellett et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A317** (1992) 28.